

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/738,323		12/16/2003	Richard Boden	IFF-0017	IFF-0017 7933	
26259	7590	07/24/2006		EXAMINER		
LICATA 8	& TYRRE	ELL P.C.	GANEY, STEVEN J			
66 E. MAII MARLTON				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WARLION	v, 145 000	133		3752		
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/738,323	BODEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven J. Ganey	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and are subject to any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on May 15, 2006, which has been fully considered in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wefler et al in view of Orson, Sr.

Wefler et al discloses a dispensing device comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note active gel col. 4, line 32 and wick col. 4, lines 54-65, except for the specific oil or fragrance present in the active gel in the claimed range be percent weight and the emanator in physical contact with the end of the wick opposite the reservoir. With respect to applicant's statements of intended use, i.e. (for storing an active gel comprising an oil or fragrance present in the active gel at about 90 to 99.8 percent by weight), the apparatus of Wefler et al is capable of performing applicant's intended use and would perform equally as well with the claimed active gel and oil/fragrance percent weight range. Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the oil or fragrance in the percent by weight range in the active gel, since it has been held that where the

Art Unit: 3752

general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPW 233. Orson, Sr. discloses a dispensing device comprising an active gel, col. 8, lines 2-37, and a wick with an emanator in physical contact with the wick, col. 6, lines 39-68. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an emanator for the wick of Wefler et al, as taught by Orson, Sr. since with such a modification the addition of the emanator facilitates diffusion of the oil or fragrance into the surrounding environment by the process of evaporation.

As to claim 7, note col. 5, lines 33 and 34 and "p-dichlorobenzene" of Wefler et al.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed May 16, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that there would have been no rationale for the skilled artisan to look to Orson, Sr. for an emanator to facilitate diffusion of a fragrance into the atmosphere as the teachings of Wefler et al resolve the issue of facilitating diffusion of an oil or fragrance into the atmosphere by employing a heating element for heat-promotion of the air freshener, note in Orson, Sr. col. 6, lines 41-52, where it is disclosed that the fragrance evaporates and is dispensed by diffusion with or without the assistance of a heating device.

Therefore, Orson, Sr. teaches that an emanator and wick combination can be used with a heating device to promote diffusion and therefore shows a teaching and motivation for providing an emanator on a wick in the apparatus of Wefler et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Page 4

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is 571-272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00; M, Tu, W and Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjg 7/12/06

STEVEN J. GANEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/12/06